

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/781,642	02/12/2001	Takashi Sugitou	55587(1004)	8394	
21874 7	7590 08/18/2004		EXAMI	NER	
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			POON, K	POON, KING Y	
P.O. BOX 558 BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2624		
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2004	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

47						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/781,642	SUGITOU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	King Y. Poon	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA* - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dated if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of ty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Ex 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 February 200 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	nd is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ nd to the drawing(s) be held in abey correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in he priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-53) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3</u> .	948) Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beaudet (US 5,511,150).

Regarding claim 1: Beaudet teaches a multifunctional printing system (column 3, line 1) wherein image information captured through an image information pickup means (scanner, column 3, lines 10-15) can be printed as multiple sets of copies (column 1, lines 30-35) by a printing means (marking means, column 3, lines 20-25) and which is configured so that the printing operation can be stopped only at intervals from one set of copies to the next or every certain number of printouts, comprising: a computing means (control logics/programs of the copier, column 7, lines 9-12, column 10, lines 6) for calculating the time at which an interrupt can be made next (fig. 4l), based on the designated number of print sets (column 9, lines 7-8, column 10, liners 1-5, column 1, lines 30-35), the current state of printing (the copier disable or not, column 6, lines 60-

Art Unit: 2624

65), the information as to whether an interrupt is permissible (column 10, line 3); and a display means (fig. 4I) for displaying the permissible interrupt time calculated by the computing means.

Regarding claim 2: Beaudet teaches a multifunctional printing system (column 3, line 1) wherein image information captured through an image information pickup means (scanner, column 3, lines 10-15) can be printed as multiple sets of copies (column 1, lines 30-35) by a printing means (marking means, column 3, lines 20-25) and which is configured so that the printing operation can be stopped only at intervals from one set of copies to the next or every certain number of printouts and so that the printing operation needs to be stopped in order to allow an interrupt job (column 9, lines 9-20), comprising: a computing means (control logics/programs of the copier, column 7, lines 9-12, column 10, lines 6) for calculating the permissible termination time (e.g., fig. 4I, column 10, lines 1-5) which allows for an interrupt job, based on the designated number of print sets (column 9, lines 7-8, column 10, liners 1-5, column 1, lines 30-35), the current state of printing (the copier disable or not, column 6, lines 60-65), the information as to whether an interrupt is permissible (column 10, line 3); and a display means (fig. 4I) for displaying the permissible termination time calculated by the computing means.

Regarding claim 3: Beaudet teaches wherein the computing means has the function of calculating the finish time (fig. 4FI, fig. 4F2) of the requested interrupt job instead of calculating the permissible interrupt time or permissible termination time, and the display means has the function of displaying the finish time of the interrupt job calculated by the computing means.

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claim 4: Beaudet teaches wherein the computing means has the function of calculating the finish time (fig. 4FI, fig. 4F2) of the requested interrupt job instead of calculating the permissible interrupt time or permissible termination time, and the display means has the function of displaying the finish time of the interrupt job calculated by the computing means.

Regarding claim 5: Beaudet teaches wherein the computing means, instead of having the function of calculating the permissible interrupt time, the permissible termination time or the finish time of the interrupt job, has the function of calculating the time length corresponding to any of these (the interrupt time of e.g., 103, secs shown in fig. 4I is a time length), and the display means has the function of displaying the time length calculated by the computing means.

Regarding claim 6: Beaudet teaches wherein the computing means, instead of having the function of calculating the permissible interrupt time, the permissible termination time or the finish time of the interrupt job, has the function of calculating the time length corresponding to any of these (the interrupt time of e.g., 103, secs shown in fig. 4I is a time length), and the display means has the function of displaying the time length calculated by the computing means.

Regarding claim 7: Beaudet teaches wherein the display means displays the time or time length in response to the operation of a dedicated key which allows for input of a display request (column 9, lines 4-10, fig. 4D, interrupt job is a dedicated key which allows the display of fig. 4I).

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claim 8: Beaudet teaches wherein the display means displays the time or time length when the key for requesting an interrupt is operated (column 9, lines 4-10, fig. 4D, interrupt job is a dedicated key which allows the display of fig. 4I).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beaudet et al. as applied to claims 1-6 above, and further in view of Brown et al (US 5,327,487).

Regarding claim 9: Beaudet does not teach a voice generating means for informing the time or the time length via voice is provided instead of the display means.

Brown, in the same area of transmitting message to a user in a copier environment teaches message can be conveyed to a user by display and voice message (column 3, lines 1-15).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Beaudet's message conveying method to include: a voice generating means for informing the time or the time length via voice is provided instead of the display means.

Art Unit: 2624

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Beaudet by the teaching of Brown to have allowed blind person having the privilege of using Beaudet's copier machine.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to King Y. Poon whose telephone number is (703) 305-0892.

8/17/04

Kin Jan Van